# Matrix multiplication on multidimensional torus networks

#### Edgar Solomonik, and James Demmel

University of California, Berkeley

VECPAR, July 2012



물 이 제품 이 문제품

#### Outline

Torus networks BlueGene architecture Collective communication

Algorithms

SUMMA Cannon's algorithm SD-Cannon's algorithm

#### Implementation

One-sided MPI communication Charm++ virtualization

#### Performance

#### Conclusion

문에 세면에 도랍될

BlueGene architecture Collective communication

## $\mathsf{BlueGene}/\mathsf{P} \text{ and } \mathsf{BlueGene}/\mathsf{Q}$

Direct torus networks

- BG/P is 3D, BG/Q is 5D
- Both are bidirectional networks (6 and 10 links per node)
- Injection bandwidth sufficient to saturate all links
- Topology-aware partition allocation and collectives

(이 문) 이 문 이 문 이 문 이 문 이 문

A D

BlueGene architecture Collective communication

## Performance of multicast (BG/P vs Cray)



BlueGene architecture Collective communication

#### Why the performance discrepancy in multicasts?

- Cray machines use binomial multicasts
  - Form spanning tree from a list of nodes
  - Route copies of message down each branch
  - Network contention degrades utilization on a 3D torus
- BG/P uses rectangular (pipelined) multicasts
  - Require network topology to be a k-ary n-cube
  - Form 2n edge-disjoint spanning trees
    - Route in different dimensional order
    - Use both directions of bidirectional network

글 🖌 🖌 글 🕨 그 글 날

BlueGene architecture Collective communication

#### 2D rectangular pipelined multicast trees



[Watts and Van De Geijn 95]

< ≣ ▶</li>6/ 23

315

SUMMA Cannon's algorithm SD-Cannon's algorithm

## Matrix multiplication



[Van De Geijn and Watts 97]

문어 문제

SUMMA Cannon's algorithm SD-Cannon's algorithm

#### SUMMA and LU with rectangular vs binomial collectives



SUMMA Cannon's algorithm SD-Cannon's algorithm

# Cannon's algorithm



[Cannon 69]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

SUMMA Cannon's algorithm SD-Cannon's algorithm

## Cannon's algorithm

Advantages over SUMMA

- Uses only near-neighbor sends rather than multicasts
  - Iower latency cost
- Can be done in-place given near-neighbor data-swaps
  Disadvantages with respect to SUMMA
  - Does not generalize well to non-square processor grids
  - Cannot exploit multiple links via rectangular multicasts

SUMMA Cannon's algorithm SD-Cannon's algorithm

#### Split-dimensional Cannon's algorithm

Improves over Cannon by saturating all network links

- Subdivide whole multiply into 2n block outer products
- Use bidirectional links by shifting half the outer products in opposite direction
- Perform each outer product in a different dimensional order
- Accumulation of outer-products into one buffer allows for same-sized local multiplications as pure Cannon's algorithm
- Does not require pipelined multicasts

SUMMA Cannon's algorithm SD-Cannon's algorithm

#### Split-dimensional Cannon's algorithm



Each circle corresponds to a shift along a dimension



물 문 문 물 문 물 물

One-sided MPI communication Charm++ virtualization

#### **MPI** implementation

SD-Cannon parallel implementation using MPI

- All communication done with near-neighbor one-sided puts
- Code is simple (200 lines)
- Limited to square (k-ary n-cube) processor grids

A D

(▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶

One-sided MPI communication Charm++ virtualization

#### Charm++

Charm++ is an asynchronous dynamic runtime system

- Provides object-based (chares) virtualization (decouples from process grid)
- Message-directed task invocation
- Allows topology-aware task mapping
- Provides additional features such as dynamic load balancing and performance profiling

(이 문) 이 문 이 문 이 문 이 문 이 문

One-sided MPI communication Charm++ virtualization

#### Virtual topology via Charm++

Implemented Cannon and SD-Cannon in Charm++

- Can map to any torus process topology
- Code more complex, but not significantly so
- Not using one-sided communication (though possible via CkDirect)
- Virtualization lowers task granularity

(신문) (신문) (문)

## 2D BlueGene/P performance

Performance on 8x8 torus partition of BG/P



## 3D BlueGene/P performance

Performance on 8x8x8 torus partition of BG/P



## Preliminary 5D BlueGene/Q performance

Performance on 2x4x4x2x4 torus partition of BG/Q



#### K computer Tofu network



10 links per node / 4 torus dimensions / 2 mesh dimensions of length 2

A ►

(★ 문 ▶ ★ 문 ▶ (문) 필

#### Tofu network is 5D not 6D



A two-by-two mesh is a 1D ring of length 4



▲문▶ ▲문▶ 문]님

## SD-Cannon K computer potential

5D K computer torus different from 5D BG/Q

- ► K computer injection bandwidth can saturate only 4/10 links SD-Cannon could still be beneficial
  - Can saturate 4 links rather than 2 (up to 2X speed-up)
  - Does not require pipelined broadcast implementation

글 🖌 🖌 글 🕨 그 글 날

# Conclusion

#### SD-Cannon

- Breaches performance gap between Cannon and SUMMA
- Is uniquely asymptotically communication-optimal on a k-ary n-cube
- Virtualization allows general mapping support but incurs overhead
- Topology-aware mapping and algorithm design
  - Allows zero network contention
  - Permits saturation of much more bandwidth on torus networks
  - Pervasive for parallel scalability on high-end supercomputers

글 🖌 🖌 글 🕨 그 글 날

#### Finish

Acknowledgements:

- Krell CSGF DOE fellowship (DE-AC02-06CH11357)
- Resources at Argonne National Lab
- Anonymous VECPAR reviewers
- Discussions with collaborators
  - James Demmel (UC Berkeley)
  - Jeff Hammond (Argonne National Lab)
  - Grey Ballard (UC Berkeley)

Also, see my talk on 2.5D algorithms at University of Tokyo

Tuesday, July 24, 10:00-12:00

김 국가 김 국가 모님이

#### Backup slides

